Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,883	DUONG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ahmed M. Farah</u> .	(3)	
(2) Lawrence N. Ginsberg (Reg. No. 30,943).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2006	Time: <u>3:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 23, 28, 30,31 and 40-42		
Prior art documents discussed:  Prior art of record.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1 and 31 were discussed in view of the prior art of record. Claim 23 was discussed to modify the functional language of the means plus funtion. Claim 28 is cancalled as being a duplicate of claim 27. Applicant's representative authorized the Examiner to amend independent claims 1 and 31 by Examiner's Amendment (EA) and incorporate agreed claims languages to put the claims in condition for allowance. See the attached EA. .